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Introduction

• Overview
  – Researching landforms in high mountains
    • cartography of mountain environments
    • double ridges
    • the Polish part of the Tatra Mountains: study area
  – Cartographic resources
    • topographical maps
    • thematic (geological and geomorphological) maps
    • aerial photography
    • non-cartographic resources
  – Evaluation and conclusions
    • methodology
    • the benchmark
    • results
    • conclusions
Researching Landforms

- Cartography of mountain environments
  - Representation and modelling of mountainous terrain
    - design, tools, databases and visualisations (e.g. Haeberling, 2004; Heuberger and Kriz, 2006; Hurni et al., 2001; Kriz, 1999)
  - Other areas of active research
    - high mountain hazard mapping, monitoring of snow cover and glacier dynamics, cognitive aspects in mountain cartography (e.g. Kaufmann et al., 2006; Kriz, 2001; Trau and Hurni, 2007; Wood et al., 2005)
  - Evaluation of cartographic resources for researching landforms in high mountains
    - evaluation of DEM to represent the Hellenic Volcanic Arc (Vassilopoulou and Hurni, 2001)
      - model suitable for tectonic and geomorphological analysis
      - no examples to illustrate the performance of the model
    - updating landforms (rock and scree) representation on topographical maps (Gilgen, 2006)
      - wide range of cartographic resources used in updating process
      - no evaluation of these resources reported
• Double ridges
  – Definition and terminology
    • *double ridges are relatively small longitudinal and often asymmetric depressions along mountain ridge tops* (Jaroszewski et al., 1985)
    • the term *double ridge* (or *ridge top depression*) is not well recognised in English geomorphological literature despite being very fitting
      – the term is accepted among the non-English speaking scientific community
  – Characteristics
    • landform size (the Tatra Mountain example)
      – small: up to 2m deep and up to 80m long
      – medium: 2-10m deep and 80-300m long
      – large: up to 30m deep, 10-70m wide and up to 830m long
    • common landform in high mountains on all continents
Researching Landforms cont...

• Double ridges
  – Examples
Researching Landforms cont...

- The Polish part of the Tatra Mountains
  - Study area
Cartographic Resources

• Topographical map (TOPO)
  – Scale 1:10 000, published in 1991 (14 sheets)
  – 5m contour interval
  – Double ridges represented by contour lines or a configuration of rock drawing symbols
  – Interpretation of landforms is sometimes difficult
    • cartographic expertise
    • geomorphological expertise
    • \textit{a priori} knowledge
Cartographic Resources cont...

- **Geological map (GEOL 1)**
  - Scale 1:10 000, published in 1958 (14 sheets)
  - Detailed account of complex geology of the area
  - Double ridges represented by a series of black lines with ticks facing the inside
  - Interpretation of landforms is reasonably easy
Cartographic Resources cont...

- **Geological map (GEOL 2)**
  - Scale 1:75 000, published in 1989
  - Generalised geology of the area
  - Double ridges represented by a dedicated black symbol
  - Interpretation of landforms is reasonably easy
Cartographic Resources cont...

- Geomorphological map (ATLS)
  - Scale 1:30 000, published in 1985
  - Detailed account of complex geomorphology of the area
  - Double ridges represented by a dedicated blue symbol
  - Interpretation of landforms is reasonably easy
Cartographic Resources cont...

- **Aerial photographs (PHTO)**
  - Scale 1:29 000, captured on 15 September 1999
  - Cloud-free aerial images of the area
  - Interpretation of double ridges is possible
    - good photo-interpretation skills are required in some instances

- **Non-cartographic resources (KLIM)**
  - Geomorphological textbook
  - List of double ridges (31)
Evaluation and Conclusions

• Methodology
  – Establishing a solid field-based benchmark
  – Evaluating cartographic resources against the benchmark using SDTS (NIST, 1991) data quality components

• The benchmark
  – A comprehensive field-based survey of double ridges
    • undertaken between 2002 and 2004
    • covering the Polish part of the Tatra Mountains
  – 39 landforms identified and described in detail
Evaluation and Conclusions cont...

• Results

  – Completeness *(extent to which information is comprehensive)*
    • number of landforms marked on cartographic resources against the benchmark (39 double ridges)
      – TOPO (51%), PHTO (28%), GEOL 2 (26%), **GEOL 1** and ATLS (20%)

  – Positional accuracy *(difference between positional observation and reality)*
    • discrepancy of double ridge locations on cartographic resource (taking into account the scale) and their *true* location
      – considering their scale, all resources displayed satisfactory positional accuracy

  – Attribute accuracy *(difference between attribute observation and reality)*
    • checking whether double ridges identified on a particular resource had their equivalent in the field
      – GEOL 2 represented two double ridge systems as one
      – KLIM listed one double ridge system as two separate ones; also KLIM listed further five landforms that were not identified against the benchmark
Evaluation and Conclusions cont...

• Results cont...
  – Logical consistency *(extent to which information components agree)*
    • checking whether representation of landforms on cartographic resources logically corresponds to their size
      – TOPO is missing two large forms, but shows several small ones
      – GEOL 2 is missing four large forms, but shows a couple of small ones
      – PHTO is the most consistent resource showing six large and 5 medium landforms
      – eight double ridges, including one large one, are not identified on any of the resources and there are further five that are listed only in KLIM (non-cartographic resource)
      – there is only one large landform that is represented on all resources
  – Other data quality components
    • lineage – only considered in the selection of the TOPO resource
    • no other components were considered
Evaluation and Conclusions cont...

• Conclusions
  – There is a number of cartographic resources that are accessible and useful in researching high mountain landforms
    • topographical, geological and geomorphological maps, and aerial photographs
  – A systematic evaluation of resources revealed their various suitability in supporting such research
    • topographical maps and aerial photographs performed best in identifying and locating double ridges in the Tatra Mountains
    • the evaluation process was limited due to the lack of appropriate metadata
Evaluation and Conclusions cont...

• Conclusions cont...
  – Many current cartographic resources are not yet sufficient for comprehensive studies of relatively small landforms
    • more detailed resources are required
    • a systematic evaluation is critical in assessing their suitability in researching double ridges or similar landforms in high mountains

Even high quality resources and rigid evaluation procedures would not completely remove a need for comprehensive field surveys

  – The adopted approach for studying double ridges was satisfactory in detecting large and medium size depressions